http://dx.doi.org/10.14798/73.1.784

LENGTH-WEIGHT RELATIONSHIPS OF FISH SPECIES IN MARMARA LAKE, WEST ANATOLIA, TURKEY

Ali İlhan*, Hasan M. Sarı

Ege University, Faculty of Fisheries, 35100 Bornova-İzmir, Turkey

*Corresponding Author, E-mail: ali.ilhan@ege.edu.tr

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Received: 17 October 2014 Received in revised form: 7 January 2015 Accepted: 8 January 2015 Available online: 9 January 2015 Keywords: LWR Growth Endemic species	The study reports on length–weight relationships (LWRs) for 13 fish species (<i>Atherina boyeri, Cobitis fahirae, Alburnus battalgilae, Cyprinus carpio, Carassius gibelio, Ladigesocypris mermere, Pseudorasbora parva, Petroleuciscus smyrnaeus, Rhodeus amarus, Vimba vimba, Sander lucioperca, Gambusia affinis, Knipowitschia mermere</i>) of 6 families in Marmara Lake, which is an alluvial set lake in west Anatolia, Turkey. Fish were caught between March 2012 and February 2013 with trammel nets and gillnets. The length–weight relationship was calculated using the expression: log (W) = log (a) + b log (L). Parameters of LWR are computed as "a" ranging from 0.0053 to 0.0385, "b" from 2.346 to 3.429, and "r ^{2"} from 0.814 to 0.994. Growth types were determined to be isometric, positive allometric and negative allometric for 4, 4 and 5 species, respectively. In addition, the present study includes first records of LWR for two endemic and two native species in freshwater of Turkey.
Native species	The results would be useful for the protection of the presence of endemic
Freshwater	species and sustainable fisheries in the lake.
How to Cite	Ihan, A., Sarı, H. M. (2015): Length-weight relationships of fish species in Marmara lake, West Anatolia, Turkey. Croatian Journal of Fisheries, 73, 30-32. DOI: 10.14798/73.1.784

INTRODUCTION

The fishing data of Marmara Lake have been analyzed for the past ten years. Carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) has been found as the most commercial fish species, in addition to pike-perch (*Sander lucioperca*), wels (*Silurus glanis*) and bleak (*Alburnus battalgilae*). On the other hand, silver gold fish (*Carassius gibelio*) was stated in the lake but the price is not as good as for other commercial fishes despite the important production from the lake.

There are some studies for weight–length relationship (LWR) regarding fish species in freshwater of different geographic regions in Turkey (Tarkan et al., 2006; Torcu-Koç et al., 2006; Ergüden and Göksu, 2009; İlhan et al., 2012). Data of LWR in fishes could be useful to estimate the biomass of a fish species from their length observations for yield assessment (Froese, 1998; García et al., 1998). In addition, this relationship can be used (i) to estimate the growth type (isometric and allometric) from the length and weight of fish (Ricker, 1975), (ii) to determine the condition of fish and (iii) to compare life history characteristics of a fish species in different

localities (Pauly, 1993; Petrakis and Stergiou, 1995; Goncalves et al., 1997; Hossain et al., 2013).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Marmara Lake (38° 37' 17" N; 28° 01' 00" E), an alluvial set lake 12 km long and 6 km wide, is located near Manisa, West Anatoliae, Turkey (Lahn, 1948). Its altitude is circa 75 m and maximum and average water depths are 6.7 and 4.7 m, respectively (Altınayar et al., 1994). Trammel nets (mesh size: 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 mm), gillnets (mesh size: 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 mm) and seine-nets (mesh size: 10 mm) were used to collect monthly fish samples from March 2012 to February 2013. Total length (TL) was measured with a precision of 0.1 cm using a measuring board, and body weight (W) with an accuracy of 0.01 g and 0.1 g using a digital balance in small and large fishes, respectively. LWR parameters (a and b) were estimated by linear regression expressed by the equation $\log W = \log a + b * \log L$; where W: weight (g), L: TL (cm), log a: intercept and b: slope of the regression line (Froese, 2006). In order to determine the type of growth, t_{z} =

b - 3 / se(b), the equation was used (Sokal and Rohlf, 1987) where t_{s_i} t-test value, b: slope and se(b): the standard error of the slope. Finally, in order to determine whether it was isometric or allometric growth, the calculated t-test value was compared with the critical value in the table.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study examined 3233 individuals from 13 species. Table 1 presents the sample size, the minimum and maximum TL and W measurements for each species, the TL–W relationships, parameters *a* and *b*, the standard error of the slope and the coefficient of determination r^2 . The expected range of 2.5<b<3.5 (Froese, 2006) was confirmed for all species. With an analysis of length range, four species (*Alburnus battalgilae, Gambusia affinis, Cobitis fahirae, Sander lucioperca*) showed isometric growth, the following four species: *Petroleuciscus smyrnaeus, Rhodeus amarus, Vimba vimba* and *Knipowitschia mermere* indicated a positive allometric growth, and finally five species (*Atherina boyeri, Cyprinus carpio, Carassius gibelio, Ladigesocypris mermere, Pseudorasbora parva*) showed a negative allometric growth. The study represents the first reference to LWRs for two endemic (L. mermere and K. mermere) and two native (A. battalgilae and P. smyrnaeus) species in freshwaters of Turkey. Tarkan et al. (2006), Torcu-Koç et al. (2006), Ergüden and Göksu (2009) have published reports about the length-weight relationship parameters of some of the freshwater fish species in inland waters of Turkey. Tarkan et al. (2006) reported positive allometric growth for A. boyeri, C. gibelio, R. amarus, V. vimba in Marmara region. They also stated both positive and negative allometric growth for C. carpio in different localities of Marmara region. The growth types were given by Ilhan et al. (2012); C. fahirae and R. amarus showed positive allometries, V. vimba isometric growth and C. bergamae negative allometries. Additionally, İlhan et al. (2014) reported about the length-weight relationship parameters of Rhodeus amarus from 9 river basins of Turkey. According to their reports, growth types were determined as isometric for 5 basins and as negative allometric for 4 basins. Parameters of the LWR in fishes can be affected by such fac-

tors as area, habitat, sex, seasonal variations, feeding habits, gonad maturity and investigation techniques in the observed length ranges of the individual caught (Tesch, 1971), all of which were not considered by the present study. The aim of this study was to produce length-weight relations for spe-

Table 1. Descriptive statistics and estimated parameters of weight–length relationship (W = aL^b); n, number of fish in sample; a and b, parameters of relationship; Se (b), standard error of slope (b); R², coefficient of determination (R < 0.05); t-test, A+, Pozitive Allometric (t-test; t > t_{0.05}, n>200 = 1.65); A-, Negative Allometric (t-test; -t > t_{0.05}, n>200

	0.05							
Species	n	Total length (cm) Min-Max	Total weight (g) Min-Max	а	b	Se (b)	r ²	t-test
, ,	(Mean ± Se)	(Mean ± Se)			. /			
Atherina boyeri	101	3.70-8.70 (6.26 ± 0.088)	0.40-5.40 (1.84 ± 0.074)	0.0084	2.908	0.050	0.971	-1.843
Cobitis fahirae	8	5.10-7.40 (5.73 ± 0.240)	0.70-1.80 (1.09 ± 0.117)	0.0176	2.346	0.458	0.814	-1.427 ⁱ
Alburnus battalgilae	298	14.60-24.10 (18.63 ± 0.066)	31.60-141.60 (66,64 ± 0.823)	0.0102	2.997	0.065	0.876	-0.049 ⁱ
Cyprinus carpio	120	11.30-49.00 (25.68 ± 0.611)	24.00-1790.00 (316.32 ±21.300)	0.0310	2.796	0.038	0.979	-5.407
Carassius gibelio	2213	6.80-27.50 (14.54 ± 0.069)	4.90-372.20 (57.72 ± 0.967)	0.0173	2.974	0.010	0.976	-2.645 ^{A-}
Ladigesocypris mermere	33	2.60-8.80 (6.70 ± 0.230)	0.70-10.80 (4.75 ± 0.422)	0.0385	2.481	0.117	0.936	-4.440 ^{A-}
Pseudorasbora parva	116	5.20-11.0 (8.81 ± 0.148)	1.60-14.60 (7.72 ± 0.305)	0.0121	2.929	0.036	0.983	-1.981^-
Petroleuciscus smyrnaeus	87	4.40-13.80 (7.37 ± 0.279)	1.30-45.70 (9.82 ± 1.193)	0.0091	3.284	0.029	0.994	9.947 ^{A+}
Rhodeus amarus	105	2.80-6.50 (4.25 ± 0.081)	0.26-4.49 (1.26 ± 0.089)	0.0089	3.328	0.056	0.972	5.878 ^{A+}
Vimba vimba	79	14.20-24.90 (19.26 ± 0.371)	36.30-236.90 (98.68 ± 6.227)	0.0053	3.283	0.061	0.974	4.645 ^{A+}
Sander lucioperca	29	11.30-22.20 (19.30 ± 0.541)	13.70-95.70 (68.93 ± 4.411)	0.0091	2.996	0.080	0.981	-0.054 ¹
Gambusia affinis	5	2.60-3.90 (3.30 ± 0.204)	0.20-0.80 (0.52 ± 0.087)	0.0145	2.945	0.803	0.818	-0.069 ¹
Knipowitschia mermere	39	2.00-2.70 (2.33 ± 0.027)	0.08-0.23 (0.13 ± 0.006)	0.0069	3.429	0.238	0.849	1.804 ^{A+}
	Atherina boyeri Cobitis fahirae Alburnus battalgilae Cyprinus carpio Carassius gibelio Ladigesocypris mermere Pseudorasbora parva Petroleuciscus Rhodeus amarus Vimba vimba Sander lucioperca Gambusia affinis	Atherina boyeri101Cobitis fahirae8Alburnus battalgilae298Cyprinus carpio120Carassius gibelio2213Ladigesocypris mermere33Pseudorasbora parva116Petroleuciscus smyrnaeus87Rhodeus amarus105Vimba vimba79Sander lucioperca29Gambusia affinis5	Species Min-Max Min-Max (Mean \pm Se) Atherina boyeri 101 $\frac{3.70-8.70}{(6.26 \pm 0.088)}$ Cobitis fahirae 8 $5.10-7.40$ (5.73 ± 0.240) Alburnus battalgilae 298 $\frac{14.60-24.10}{(18.63 \pm 0.066)}$ Cyprinus carpio 120 $\frac{11.30-49.00}{(25.68 \pm 0.611)}$ Carassius gibelio 2213 $\frac{6.80-27.50}{(14.54 \pm 0.069)}$ Ladigesocypris mermere 33 $\frac{2.60-8.80}{(6.70 \pm 0.230)}$ Pseudorasbora parva 116 $\frac{5.20-11.0}{(8.81 \pm 0.148)}$ Petroleuciscus smyrnaeus 87 $\frac{4.40-13.80}{(7.37 \pm 0.279)}$ Rhodeus amarus 105 $\frac{2.80-6.50}{(4.25 \pm 0.081)}$ Vimba vimba 79 $\frac{11.30-22.20}{(19.26 \pm 0.371)}$ Sander lucioperca 29 $\frac{11.30-22.20}{(19.30 \pm 0.541)}$ Gambusia affinis 5 $\frac{2.60-3.90}{(3.30 \pm 0.204)}$	SpeciesnMin-MaxMin-MaxAtherina boyeri101 $(Mean \pm Se)$ $(Mean \pm Se)$ Atherina boyeri101 (6.26 ± 0.088) (1.84 ± 0.074) Cobitis fahirae8 $(5.10-7.40)$ $(0.70-1.80)$ Alburnus battalgilae298 $(14.60-24.10)$ $(31.60-141.60)$ Alburnus battalgilae298 (14.63 ± 0.066) (66.64 ± 0.823) Cyprinus carpio120 $(1.30-49.00)$ $(24.00-1790.00)$ Carassius gibelio2213 $(6.80-27.50)$ $(4.90-372.20)$ Ladigesocypris33 $(2.60-8.80)$ $(0.70-10.80)$ Pseudorasbora parva116 $(5.20-11.0)$ $(1.60-14.60)$ Pseudorasbora parva116 $(2.80-6.50)$ $(0.26-4.49)$ Rhodeus amarus105 $(2.80-6.50)$ $(0.26-4.49)$ Vimba vimba79 $(1.420-24.90)$ $(36.30-236.90)$ Vimba vimba79 $(1.30-22.20)$ $(13.70-95.70)$ Gambusia affinis5 $(2.60-3.90)$ (0.52 ± 0.081)	SpeciesnMin-MaxMin-Max a Atherina boyeri101 $3.70-8.70$ (6.26 ± 0.088) $(Mean \pm Se)$ 0.0084 Cobitis fahirae8 $5.10-7.40$ (5.73 ± 0.240) $0.70-1.80$ (1.09 ± 0.117) 0.0176 Alburnus battalgilae298 $14.60-24.10$ (18.63 ± 0.066) $31.60-141.60$ ($66,64 \pm 0.823$) 0.0102 Cyprinus carpio120 $11.30-49.00$ (25.68 ± 0.611) $24.00-1790.00$ (316.32 ± 21.300) 0.0310 Carassius gibelio2213 $6.80-27.50$ (14.54 ± 0.069) $4.90-372.20$ (57.72 ± 0.967) 0.0173 Ladigesocypris mermere33 $2.60-8.80$ (6.70 ± 0.230) $0.70-10.80$ (4.75 ± 0.422) 0.0385 Pseudorasbora parva116 $5.20-11.0$ (8.81 ± 0.148) $1.60-14.60$ (7.72 ± 0.305) 0.0091 Petroleuciscus smyrnaeus87 $4.40-13.80$ (7.37 ± 0.279) $1.30-45.70$ (9.82 ± 1.193) 0.0091 Rhodeus amarus105 $2.80-6.50$ (4.25 ± 0.081) $0.26-4.49$ (9.86 ± 6.227) 0.0053 Sander lucioperca29 $11.30-22.20$ (19.30 ± 0.541) $13.70-95.70$ (68.93 ± 4.411) 0.0091 Gambusia affinis5 $2.60-3.90$ (3.30 ± 0.204) $0.20-0.80$ (0.52 ± 0.087) 0.0145	SpeciesnMin-MaxMin-MaxabAtherina boyeri101 $3.70-8.70$ (6.26 ± 0.088) $0.40-5.40$ (1.84 ± 0.074) 0.0084 2.908 Cobitis fahirae8 $5.10-7.40$ (5.73 ± 0.240) $0.70-1.80$ (1.09 ± 0.117) 0.0176 2.346 Alburnus battalgilae298 $14.60-24.10$ (18.63 ± 0.066) $31.60-141.60$ (66.64 ± 0.823) 0.0102 2.997 Cyprinus carpio120 $11.30-49.00$ (25.68 ± 0.611) $24.00-1790.00$ (316.32 ± 21.300) 0.0310 2.796 Carassius gibelio2213 $(6.80-27.50)$ (14.54 ± 0.069) $4.90-372.20$ (57.72 ± 0.967) 0.0173 2.974 Ladigesocypris mermere33 $2.60-8.80$ (6.70 ± 0.230) $0.70-10.80$ (4.75 ± 0.422) 0.0385 2.481 Pseudorasbora parva116 $5.20-11.0$ (8.81 ± 0.148) $1.30-45.70$ (7.37 ± 0.279) 0.0091 3.284 Rhodeus amarus105 $2.80-6.50$ (4.25 ± 0.081) $0.26-4.49$ (1.26 ± 0.089) 0.0089 3.328 Vimba vimba79 $14.20-24.90$ (19.26 ± 0.371) (98.68 ± 6.227) (98.68 ± 6.227) 0.0053 3.283 Sander lucioperca29 $11.30-22.20$ (3.30 ± 0.204) $0.27-0.80$ (0.52 ± 0.087) 0.0145 2.945 Kainguita affinis5 $2.60-3.90$ (3.30 ± 0.204) $0.20-0.80$ (0.52 ± 0.087) 0.0145 2.945	SpeciesnMin-MaxMin-MaxabSe (b)Atherina boyeri101 $\begin{array}{c} (Mean \pm Se) \\ (Mean \pm Se) \end{array}$ $(Mean \pm Se) \end{array}$ 0.0084 2.908 0.050 Atherina boyeri101 $\begin{array}{c} 3.70-8.70 \\ (6.26 \pm 0.088) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.40-5.40 \\ (1.84 \pm 0.074) \end{array}$ 0.0084 2.908 0.050 Cobitis fahirae8 $\begin{array}{c} 5.10-7.40 \\ (5.73 \pm 0.240) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.70-1.80 \\ (1.09 \pm 0.117) \end{array}$ 0.0176 2.346 0.458 Alburnus battalgilae298 $\begin{array}{c} 14.60-24.10 \\ (18.63 \pm 0.066) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 31.60-141.60 \\ (66.64 \pm 0.823) \end{array}$ $0.0102 $ 2.997 0.065 Cyprinus carpio120 $\begin{array}{c} 11.30-49.00 \\ (25.68 \pm 0.611) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 24.00-1790.00 \\ (316.32 \pm 21.300) \end{array}$ $0.0173 $ 2.974 0.010 Ladigesocypris33 $\begin{array}{c} 2.60-8.80 \\ (6.70 \pm 0.230) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.772 \pm 0.967 \\ (7.72 \pm 0.305) \end{array}$ $0.0121 $ 2.929 0.036 Pseudorasbora parva116 $\begin{array}{c} 5.20-11.0 \\ (8.81 \pm 0.148) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 1.60-14.60 \\ (7.72 \pm 0.305) \end{array}$ $0.0091 $ $3.284 $ 0.029 Rhodeus amarus105 $\begin{array}{c} 2.80-6.50 \\ (7.37 \pm 0.279) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.26-4.49 \\ (1.25 \pm 0.081) \end{array}$ $0.0091 $ $3.283 $ 0.061 Vimba vimba79 $\begin{array}{c} 11.30-22.20 \\ (19.30 \pm 0.371) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.26-4.49 \\ (9.85 \pm 6.227) \end{array}$ $0.0053 $ $3.283 $ 0.061 Sander lucioperca29 $\begin{array}{c} 11.30-22.20 \\ (19.30 \pm 0.371) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.26-4.49 \\ (9.85 \pm 6.227) \end{array}$ $0.0053 $ $3.283 $ $0.061 $ Sander lucioperca29 $\begin{array}{c} 11.30-22.20 \\ (19.30 \pm 0.371) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.26-4.49 $	SpeciesnMin-MaxMin-MaxabSe (b) r^2 Atherina boyeri101 $3.70-8.70$ (6.26 ± 0.088) $0.40-5.40$ (1.84 ± 0.074) 0.0084 2.908 0.050 0.971 Cobitis fahirae8 $5.10-7.40$ (1.92 ± 0.240) $0.70-1.80$ (1.09 ± 0.117) 0.0176 2.346 0.458 0.814 Alburnus battalgilae298 $14.60-24.10$ (18.63 ± 0.066) $31.60-141.60$ (66.64 ± 0.823) 0.0102 2.997 0.065 0.876 Cyprinus carpio120 $11.30-49.00$ (25.68 ± 0.611) $24.00-1790.00$ (316.32 ± 21.300) 0.0310 2.796 0.038 0.979 Carassius gibelio2213 $6.80-27.50$ (14.54 ± 0.069) $4.90-372.20$ (57.72 ± 0.967) 0.0173 2.974 0.010 0.976 Ladigesocypris mermere33 $2.60-8.80$ (6.70 ± 0.230) $0.70-10.80$ (4.75 ± 0.422) 0.0385 2.481 0.117 0.936 Pseudorasbora parva116 $5.20-11.0$ (8.81 ± 0.148) $1.30-45.70$ (9.82 ± 1.193) 0.0091 3.284 0.029 0.994 Rhodeus amarus105 $2.80-6.50$ (4.25 ± 0.081) $0.264.499$ (9.862 ± 1.193) 0.0091 3.283 0.061 0.974 Sander lucioperca29 $11.30-22.20$ (19.30 ± 0.541) $13.70-95.70$ (68.93 ± 4.411) 0.0091 2.996 0.803 0.818 Gambusia affinis5 $2.60-3.90$ (3.3 ± 0.204) $0.020-0.807$ 0.0145 2.945 0.803

= 1.65), I, Isometric (t-test; $t < t_{0.05}$, n>200 = 1.65)

© The Author(s) 2015. Published by University of Zagreb, Faculty of Agriculture. All rights reserved.

cies in Marmara Lake (West Anatolia) which will be helpful for sustainable fishery management and conservation programs in the region.

Sažetak

DUŽINSKO-MASENI ODNOSI RIBA U JEZERU MARMARA, ZAPADNA ANATOLIA, TURSKA

Studija prikazuje dužinsko-maseni odnos (LWR) za 13 ribljih vrsta (Atherina boyeri, Cobitis fahirae, Alburnus battalgilae, Cyprinus carpio, Carassius gibelio, Ladigesocypris mermere, Pseudorasbora parva, Petroleuciscus smyrnaeus, Rhodeus amarus, Vimba vimba, Sander Iucioperca, Gambusia affinis, Knipowitschia mermere) iz 6 porodica aluvijalnog jezera Marmara koje se nalazi u zapadnoj Anatoliji, Turska. Primjerci riba su uhvaćeni između ožujka 2012. i veljače 2013. s povlačnom mrežom i mrežom stajaćicom. Odnos dužine i mase je izračunat pomoću izraza: log (W) = log (a) + b log (L). Parametri LWR računati kao: "a" kreću se od 0.0053 do 0.0385, "b" od 2.346 do 3.429 i "r^{2"} od 0.814 do 0.994. Tip rasta je okarakteriziran kao izometrijski za 4 vrste, pozitivno alometrijski također za 4 vrste te negativno alometrijski za 5 vrsta. Osim toga, ova studija uključuje prve zapise LWR za dvije endemske i dvije autohtone vrste u slatkim vodama Turske. Rezultati će biti korisni za zaštitu nazočnosti endema i održivog ribarstva jezera.

Ključne riječi: LWR, rast, endemske vrste, autohtone vrste, slatke vode

REFERENCES

- Altınayar, G., Yıldırım, S., Ertem, B., Aydoğan, F. (1994): Marmara Gölünde Su Yabancı Otları Sorunları Nedenleri ve Çözüm Yolları Üzerine Çalışmalar. D.S.İ. Genel Müdürlüğü, İşletme ve Bakım Dairesi Başkanlığı, Ot Kontrolü ve Bitkisel Kaplama Şube Müdürlüğü, 191 p.
- Ergüden, S. A., Göksu, M. Z. L. (2009): Length-weight relationships for 12 fish species caught in Seyhan Dam Lake in southern Anatolia, Adana, Turkey. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 25, 501–502.
- Froese, R. (1998): Length–weight relationships for 18 lessstudied fish species. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 14, 117–118.

- Froese, R. (2006): Cube law, condition factor and weightlength relationships: history, meta-analysis and recommendations. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 22, 241–253.
- García, C. B., Duarte, L. O., Sandoval, N., von Schiller, D., Melo, G., Navajas, P. (1998): Length-weight relationships of demersal fishes from the Gula of Salamanca, Colombia, Naga. ICLARM Q, 21, 30–32.
- Goncalves, J. M. S., Bentes, L., Lino, P. G., Ribeiro, J., Canario, A. V. M., Erzini, K. (1997): Weight–length relationships for selected fish species of the small-scale demersal fisheries of the south and south-west coast of Portugal. Fisheries Research, 30, 253–256.
- Hossain, M. Y., Rahman, M. M., Abdallah E. M. and Ohtomi, J. (2013): Biometric Relationships of the Pool Barb *Puntius sophore* (Hamilton 1822) (Cyprinidae) from Three Major Rivers of Bangladesh. Sains Malaysiana 22, 1571-1580.
- İlhan, A., Sarı, H. M., Saygı, H., Ustaoğlu, M. R. (2012): Length–Weight Relationships of Freshwater Fishes in the Biga Peninsula (Northwestern Anatolia, Turkey). Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 28, 857-858.
- İlhan, A., Sarı, H. M., Ekmekçi, B. (2014): Türkiye İç Sularındaki Acı Balık, *Rhodeus amarus* (Bloch, 1782)'ın Boy-Ağırlık İlişkisi. Journal of FisheriesSciences.com 8, 3, 181-185.
- Lahn, E. (1948): Türkiye Göllerinin Jeolojisi ve Jeomorfolojisi Hakkında Bir Etüt. M. T. A. Enst. Yayınları seri B No: 12.
- Pauly, D. (1993): Fishbyte Section. Editorial. Naga. ICLARM Q, 16, 26 p.
- Petrakis, G., Stergiou, K. I. (1995): Weight–length relationships for 33 fish species in Grek waters. Fisheries Research, 21, 465–469.
- Ricker, W. E. (1975): Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. Bulletin. Fisheries Research Board of Canada. 191, 1–382.
- Sokal, R. R., Rohlf, F. J. (1987): Introduction to Biostatistics, 2 nd Edition. Freeman, New York, 363 p.
- Tarkan, A. S., Gaygusuz, Ö., Acıpınar, H., Gürsoy, Ç., Özuluğ, M. (2006): Length-weight relationship of fishes from the Marmara region (NW-Turkey). Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 22, 271-273.
- Tesch, F. W. (1971): Age and growth. In: Methods for assessment of fish production in fresh waters. W. E. Ricker (Ed.). Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, 99-130.
- Torcu-Koç, H., Erdoğan, Z., Treer, T. (2006): A review of length weight relationships of fishes from freshwaters of Turkey. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 22, 264–270.